(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 604 OF 1999

BETWEEN

1. Makhan Sav Sahu S/o Shri Chhatram Sahu, aged about 31 years, resident of Village and Post Chapora, Distt. Janjgir.

2. Madhav Das Bairagi S/o Sukdev Das Bairagi, aged about 30 years, resident of Village and Post Chapora.

3. Vishamber Sahu S/o Sonauram Sahu, aged about 30 years, resident of Village and Post Nawapara.

4. Krishna Kumar S/o Bhururam Shatrang, aged about 30 years, r/o Village and Post Chhote Seepat.

5. Mangal Das S/o Mahesh Ram Bhardwaj, aged about 30 years, r/o Village and Post Barbhata.

6. Uttam Kumar S/o Lachhiram Chouhan, aged 31 years, r/o Village and Post Bhatora.

7. Saniram S/o Raghunandan Bhardwaj, aged 29 years, r/o Village and Post Chhote Kot.

8. Jhanglu Ram S/o Bhururam Khute aged about 30 years, resident of Village and Post Nawapara.

9. Ku. Ahilya D/o Rohini Chand Bharti, aged about 27 years, r/o Village and Post Charpara.

10. Awadh Kishore S/o Madhoram Sidar, aged about 30 years, r/o village and Post Nawapara.

PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Collector, Janjgir Champa. District Janjgir Champa (MP).

2. Janpad Panchayat Malkharoda, through its Chief Executive Officer Malkharoda District Janjgir Champa (MP).

RESPONDENTS

Surendra Kumar Khare B.A.LL.B. Civil Commissioner Jbp.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES "226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

..02.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 604/99 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13-03-2002 Shri Prashant Mishra, Advocate for the petitioners. Shri Gautham Bhaduri, G.A for respondent No.1. None for respondent No.2. Heard. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Janpad Panchayat has selected Siksha Karmis. So far as petitioners are concerned, they were in the waiting list. The persons who were selected and appointed joined their services. But, thereafter, the Collector cancelled the appointment. On such cancellation being made by the Collector in respect of those candidates they preferred a Writ Petition No.5173/1998 and in the same petition interim order had been passed and the petitioners in that petition are continuing. It is pointed out that since certain persons had not joined their services, Janpad Panchayat passed a resolution dated 26-09-1998 recommending the names of the present petitioners to the Chief Executive Officer. The said order has not been complied with and against that the present petition has been filed.	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

S2

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 604/99 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Learned counsel for the State pointed out that the representations (Annexures P – 4 and P – 5) have been made to the Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, but not to the Collector or the State Government.

Having considered the facts and circumstances and material available on record and without expressing further on the merits of the case of the rival parties, in the opinion of this Court, it would be just and proper to give an opportunity to the petitioners to make a detailed representation alongwith the documents to the appropriate authority and if such a representation is made within a month, and if required, the petitioners and any opposite party may be heard either personally or through their counsel, the same shall be decided as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation. If any adverse order is passed, the parties are at liberty to challenge afresh.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition is disposed of at this stage.

Certified photocopy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये